

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA**

DAVE PONDER,)	Case No.:
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT
)	(Unlawful Debt Collection Practices)
CAPITAL ONE BANK (USA) N.A.,)	
)	
Defendant.)	
)	

COMPLAINT

DAVE PONDER (Plaintiff), by and through his attorneys, alleges the following against
CAPITAL ONE BANK (USA) N.A., (Defendant):

1. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of himself individually seeking damages and any other available legal or equitable remedies resulting from the illegal actions of Defendant, in negligently, knowingly, and/or willfully contacting Plaintiff on Plaintiff's cellular telephone in violation of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (hereinafter "TCPA"), 47 U.S.C. § 227 *et seq.*

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2. Jurisdiction of this Court arises under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 47 U.S.C. §227(b)(3). See, *Mims v. Arrow Financial Services, LLC*, 132 S.Ct. 740 (2012), holding that federal and state courts have concurrent jurisdiction over private suits arising under the TCPA.
3. Venue is proper in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Indiana pursuant to 28 U.S.C § 1391(b) because Plaintiff resides within this District and a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the herein claims occurred, or a substantial part of property that is the subject of the action is situated within this District.

PARTIES

4. Plaintiff is a natural person residing in Allen County, in the city of Huntertown, Indiana.
5. Defendant is a corporation doing business in the State of Indiana with its principal place of business located in McLean, Virginia.
6. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Defendant has acted through its agents employees, officers, members, directors, heir, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives and insurers.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

7. Defendant is a “person” as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153 (10).
8. Defendant placed collection calls to Plaintiff seeking and attempting to collect on alleged debts incurred through purchases made on credit issued by Defendant.
9. Defendant placed collection calls to Plaintiff’s cellular telephone at phone number (260) 410-12XX.
10. Defendant placed collection calls to Plaintiff from phone numbers including, but not limited to (800) 955-6600, (804) 588-4755.
11. Per its prior business practices, Defendant’s calls were placed with an automated dialing system (“auto-dialer”).
12. Defendant used an “automatic telephone dialing system”, as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 227(a) (1) to place its telephone calls to Plaintiff seeking to collect a consumer debt allegedly owed by Plaintiff, DAVE PONDER.
13. Defendant’s calls constituted calls that were not for emergency purposes as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A).

1 14. Defendant's calls were placed to a telephone number assigned to a cellular telephone
2 service for which Plaintiff incurs a charge for incoming calls pursuant to 47 U.S.C. §
3 227(b)(1).

4 15. Defendant never received Plaintiff "prior express consent" to receive calls using an
5 automatic telephone dialing system or an artificial or prerecorded voice on his cellular
6 telephone pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A).

7 16. On or about October 30, 2017, the Plaintiff called into Defendant's company at phone
8 number (800) 955-6600 and spoke with Defendant's female representative and requested
9 that Defendant cease calling Plaintiff's cellular phone.

10 17. During the conversation, Plaintiff gave Defendant both his phone number and social
11 security number to assist Defendant in accessing his account before asking Defendant to
12 stop calling his cell phone.

13 18. Plaintiff revoked any consent, explicit, implied, or otherwise, to call his cellular telephone
14 and/or to receive Defendant's calls using an automatic telephone dialing system in his
15 conversation with Defendant's representative on October 30, 2017.

16 19. Despite Plaintiff's request to cease, Defendant began to place collection calls to Plaintiff
17 again on October 31, 2017.

18 20. Defendant continued to place collection calls to Plaintiff's cellular telephone after October
19 30, 2017.

20 21. Despite Plaintiff's request that Defendant cease placing automated collection calls,
21 Defendant placed at least one hundred and ninety (190) automated calls to Plaintiff's cell
22 phone after October 30, 2017.
23
24
25

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION
NEGLIGENT VIOLATIONS OF THE TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT
47 U.S.C. § 227

22. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates by reference into this cause of action the allegations set forth above at Paragraphs 1-21.

23. The foregoing acts and omissions of Defendant constitute numerous and multiple negligent violations of the TCPA, including but not limited to each and every one of the above cited provisions of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq.

24. As a result of Defendant's negligent violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq., Plaintiff is entitled to an award of \$500.00 in statutory damages, for each and every violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. §227(b)(3)(B).

25. Plaintiff is also entitled to seek injunctive relief prohibiting such conduct in the future.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION
KNOWING AND/OR WILLFUL VIOLATIONS OF THE TELEPHONE CONSUMER
PROTECTION ACT
47 U.S.C. § 227 et. seq.

26. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates by reference into this cause of action the allegations set forth above at Paragraphs 1-21.

27. The foregoing acts and omissions of Defendant constitute numerous and multiple knowing and/or willful violations of the TCPA, including but not limited to each and every one of the above cited provisions of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq.

28. As a result of Defendant's knowing and/or willful violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq., Plaintiff is entitled an award of \$1,500.00 in statutory damages, for each and every violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B) and 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(C).

29. Plaintiff is also entitled to seek injunctive relief prohibiting such conduct in the future.

1 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, DAVE PONDER, respectfully requests judgment be entered
2 against Defendant, CAPITAL ONE BANK (USA) N.A., for the following:

3
4 FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

5 30. For statutory damages of \$500.00 multiplied by the number of TCPA violations alleged
6 herein (190), \$95,000.00;

7 30. Actual damages and compensatory damages according to proof at time of trial;

8 SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

9
10 32. For statutory damages of \$1,500.00 multiplied by the number of TCPA violations alleged
11 herein (190) \$285,000.00;

12 33. Actual damages and compensatory damages according to proof at time of trial;

13 ON ALL CAUSES OF ACTION

14 34. Actual damages and compensatory damages according to proof at time of trial;

15 35. Costs and reasonable attorneys' fees;

16 36. Any other relief that this Honorable Court deems appropriate.

17 JURY TRIAL DEMAND

18 Plaintiff demands a jury trial on all issues so triable.

19 RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

20
21 Dated: July 2, 2018

22 By: /s/ Andrew Homan
23 Andrew Homan
24 Attorney for Plaintiff
25 244 N. College Ave.
Indianapolis, IN 46202
T: 317-941-6996
E: Andrew@homanlegal.com